

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Civil Writ Petition No. 9629 of 2013

DATE OF DECISION : July 08, 2015

Paramjit Kaur & anr.

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

The State of Punjab & ors.

.... RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that despite letter dated 10.07.2012 (Annexure P-15), written by the Director General of Police, Punjab, Chandigarh, to respondent No.1, no tangible result has emerged, as the petitioners have not been communicated any formal order thereafter. Whereas, learned State counsel has produced a communication dated 13.08.2012, vide which in response to the letter dated 10.07.2012, the Department of Home had conveyed that it was not feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners for appointment on compassionate ground as the claim of the petitioners was not covered under Government instructions on the subject. Further, acceptance of claim of the petitioners could result in legal complications. And, thus, the Government could

not accept the recommendations made by respondent No.2.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he be permitted to withdraw the instant petition so as to enable the petitioners to assail the order dated 13.08.2012, in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

JULY 08, 2015
Kang

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE