

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No.7396 of 2015

Date of Decision : 22.04.2015

Asha Rani

..... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana School Teachers Selection Board and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed for directing the respondent No.2 to revise the result of the petitioner for the post of Primary Teacher after considering 2145 marks in her D.Ed. Examination instead of 2125 marks (out of 2575 marks).

The petitioner had applied online for the job of Primary Teacher. In the application she mentioned her marks in the course of Diploma in Education (D.Ed.) as 2125 out of 2575 instead of 2145. The application form also contained the following declaration:-

“DECLARATION: I hereby declare that:-

1. *All statements made in this application form are true,*

complete and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. In the event of any information being found false or incorrect or ineligibility being detected or after the interview/selection/appointment, my candidature may be cancelled and action can be taken against me. Any variation in online application and printed copy at the time of interview will be rejected.”

Later on the petitioner discovered that actually her marks were 2145 and not 2125 and she made representation dated 19.08.2014. Thereafter she moved a Legal Notice dated 20.03.2015 to consider her marks as 2145. The prayer made in this writ petition is firstly that the marks of the petitioner be considered as 2145 or, in the alternative a direction be issued to the respondents to consider and decide the Legal Notice dated 20.03.2015.

In my opinion, there is no merit in this writ petition. It is a moot point whether a person who is so careless while filling up a form for employment can later on claim any relief from a Court of extraordinary jurisdiction. In the second place, the declaration made by the petitioner precludes any relief being granted to her.

In the circumstances, the petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

April 22, 2015

ashish