

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7391 of 2015

Date of decision: 22.04.2015.

Ashok Kumar Malhotra

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment? Yes/No**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not? Yes/No**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No**

Present: Mr. Ashutosh Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that some part of the salary of the petitioner and other retiral benefits have not been paid to the petitioner and have been withheld because of charge-sheet. He further submits that the petitioner has now been acquitted of the charge by the trial Court vide judgment dated 06.05.2014 and the appeal filed against aforesaid judgment has also been dismissed on 13.01.2015. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner has made a representation to the respondent-authority on 16.02.2015 but no action has been taken so far. Earlier also, various representations were made but no action has been taken till date. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in

case, directions are issued to respondents to decide the representation dated 16.02.2015 (Annexure P-7).

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 16.02.2015 (Annexure P-7) and pass necessary order in accordance with law. However, in case, the petitioner is found entitled for salary and other retiral benefits, the same be released to him within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Disposed of accordingly.

22.04.2015
neetu

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE