

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.W.P. No.7349 of 2015**

**Date of Decision : 22.04.2015**

Dr. Vinay

..... Petitioner

Versus

Deputy Commissioner-cum-President and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI**

\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Madan Pal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)**

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw this petition with liberty to a file fresh one on the same cause of action since it has been wrongly mentioned that the service of the petitioner is covered by statutory rules whereas as per the learned counsel the post in question is not covered by the statutory rules. Further the learned counsel has accepted that the averments made in para No.7 that the respondents had issued the advertisement for the regular post of Physiotherapist is also wrong.

Allowed as prayed for. I pointed out to the learned counsel

that even though I am accepting his prayer for withdrawing the petition with liberty to file fresh one yet I have to place on record the fact that the averments made in the present writ petition are contrary to facts.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**April 22, 2015**

*ashish*

**( AJAY TEWARI )  
JUDGE**