

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No.7346 of 2015

Date of Decision : 28.04.2015

Ramesh Chand Meena

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Saurabh Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner is claiming benefit of promotion to the next higher rank i.e. S.I./G.D.

The petitioner had earlier approached this Court by way of a writ petition bearing CWP No.27055 of 2013 wherein he prayed that he should be permitted to do Junior Leadership Level-II Course. This Court vide order dated 10.12.2013 directed the respondents to decide his representations. By order (Annexure P-8) his representation was allowed. Thereafter by representation (Annexure P-9) the petitioner again represented that he should be given his next promotion also since he had

completed the said course and his juniors had stolen a march over him because his earlier representation remained pending. This representation was moved to the respondent No.4 and the case of the petitioner was recommended by the authorities by document (Annexure P-10). The claim raised in the present petition is for this promotion.

Learned counsel states that he would be satisfied at this stage if in the first instance a direction is issued to the respondent No.2 to take a decision on the representation (Annexure P-9) and the recommendation (Annexure P-10) by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. Resultantly, the respondent No.2 is directed to decide the said representation/recommendation by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case the petitioner is found entitled to any relief, the same be granted to him within the aforesaid period.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 28, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**