

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

224

CWP No.8567 of 2014

Date of Decision:28.05.2015

Suresh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Mr.Ramesh Goyat, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Ashok Kumar, DAG, Haryana.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J.(oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the averments made in the reply, no cause of action survives and the instant writ petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having become infructuous.

May 28, 2015
seema

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE