

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**DATE OF DECISION: APRIL 21, 2015**

Joginder Singh

.....Petitioner

**VERSUS**

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Tarunveer Vashist, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)**

It is the contention of counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner, who is a senior citizen, had approached the Secretary, Department of Revenue-respondent No.1 by way of representation. The said respondent has referred the matter to the Deputy Commissioner, Patiala, vide communication dated 13.05.2014 (Annexure P-2). Counsel contends that till date the Deputy Commissioner has not proceeded with the matter. He had been approaching him by way of representations dated 12.11.2014 and 20.01.2015 (Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively) but the same have also not yielded any result.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner at this stage would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Deputy Commissioner/ Deputy Magistrate/Tribunal, Patiala-respondent No.3 to

consider his representation dated 20.01.2015 (Annexure P-4) and pass an appropriate order.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merit of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the Deputy Commissioner/ Deputy Magistrate/Tribunal, Patiala-respondent No.3 to look into the representation dated 20.01.2015 (Annexure P-4) and thereafter take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

**April 21, 2015**  
**khurmi**

**( AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH )**  
**JUDGE**