

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

DATE OF DECISION: APRIL 21, 2015

M/s Khosla Agro Overseas, Batala

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

**Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of counsel for the petitioner that the incentive/subsidy, as per the policy of the Government of Punjab, has been sanctioned but the said amount has not been released to the petitioner. Petitioner had been approaching the respondents and the last representation, which has been submitted, is dated 26.12.2014 (Annexure P-8), which is addressed to respondent Nos.2 and 3 but no decision thereon, till date, has been taken or conveyed to the petitioner. He states that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Director, Department of Industries and Commerce, Punjab, Chandigarh-respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation referred to above within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merit of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the Director, Department of Industries and Commerce, Punjab, Chandigarh-respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 26.12.2014 (Annexure P-8) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

**April 21, 2015
khurmi**

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**