

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7251 of 2015

Date of Decision:27/04/2015

Ashok Arora

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition, the petitioner *inter alia* seeks extension in his service beyond the age of superannuation as also a direction to the respondents to take an early decision in the disciplinary proceedings initiated against him.

So far as seeking direction to the respondents to decide the disciplinary proceedings initiated against the petitioner on an early date is concerned, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the same at this stage.

The other issue raised by the petitioner is squarely covered against him in a recent judgment rendered by me in CWP No.3826 of 2015; Romesh Garg v. State of Punjab and others; decided on 24.4.2015, involving similar questions of fact and law.

Accordingly, the present petition is also ordered to be dismissed in terms of the judgment rendered by me in CWP No.3826 of 2015; Romesh Garg v. State of Punjab and others; decided on 24.4.2015

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

27.04.2015
rajeev