

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

125

Civil Writ Petition No.7119 of 2015

Date of Decision: April 28, 2015

Varsha Construction Company and others

...Petitioners

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Girdhar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners were allotted works, detail of which was mentioned in representation dated 13.02.2015 (Annexure P-1) addressed to the Executive Engineer, Drainage Construction Division, Faridkot at Gidderbaha-respondent No.4. The work order was executed and completed in the month of September, 2014, but till date, the payment due to the petitioners has not been released. He contends that the said works were completed under the S.D.R.F. for which the funds have to be released by the Deputy Commissioner of the concerned District. In the present case, it would be the Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka, District Fazilka and the Deputy Commissioner, Sri Muktsar Sahib-respondents 5 and 6. Copy of the said representation was also sent to these respondents. Despite the said representation and a reasonable time having been passed, no response has been received by the petitioners nor has any benefit been conferred on them.

Counsel contends that the petitioners, at this stage, would be

satisfied if a direction is issued to respondents 4 to 6, to consider and decide the representation dated 13.02.2015 (Annexure P-1) within some specified time and pass appropriate orders.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioners, without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to respondents 4 to 6 to consider and decide the representation dated 13.02.2015 (Annexure P-1), within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claims of the petitioners are accepted, the consequential benefits, if any, be released to them, in accordance with law, within a further period of one month. In case the claims of the petitioners are not to be accepted, a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioners forthwith.

April 28, 2015

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**