

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.8318 of 2014
Date of Decision: May 19, 2015

Shish Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Amit Kaith, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Hitesh Pandit, Addl.A.G.Haryana,
for the State.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner says that the claim of the petitioner stands vindicated and, therefore, no cause of action survives in the present writ petition.

The writ petition is disposed of as infructuous.

May 19, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE