

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

DATE OF DECISION: APRIL 20, 2015

Rakesh Arora

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Dilpreet Singh Gandhi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of counsel for the petitioner that in an order, which was passed by this Court on 18.01.2010 (Annexure P-4), the petitioner was granted a conditional bail, which required him to deposit 50% of the penalty amount i.e. ₹ 3,48,000/- on the registration of an FIR. The said amount was deposited by him. After the trial, the petitioner has been acquitted by the Judge, Special Court, Amritsar, vide judgement dated 08.07.2013 (Annexure P-5). Despite acquittal, the petitioner has not been released the amount, which had been deposited by him in pursuance of the order passed by this Court.

Petitioner has served a legal notice through counsel dated 21.01.2015 (Annexure P-7), which till date has not been responded to nor

the amount deposited by him refunded. He contends that the petitioner at this stage would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Superintending Engineer, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd., Amritsar-respondent No.2 to consider and decide the said legal notice within some specified time.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merit of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the Superintending Engineer, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd., Amritsar-respondent No.2 to consider and decide the legal notice dated 21.01.2015 (Annexure P-7) within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Decision so taken be conveyed to the petitioner.

In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted, the consequential benefits, if any, be released to him, in accordance with law, within a further period of two weeks.

April 20, 2015
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE