

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.6983 of 2015
Date of Decision: November 20, 2015

Desa Singh @ Jagdish SinghPetitioner
versus
The State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

Present: Mr.Naresh Kaushal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr.Rajesh Bhardwaj, Additional AG, Punjab.
Mr.Ashish Grover, Advocate, for respondent Nos.2to4.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The matter pertains to allotment of a plot to the petitioner under the 'Oustee quota'. The petitioner's claim has been turned down vide the impugned order on the premise that he failed to apply within the stipulated period. Such a condition has been annulled by this Court in Haryana Urban Development Authority and others versus Sandeep and others, 2012(2) Law Herald (P&H).

In this view of the matter, the action of the authorities cannot sustain and the impugned order to that extent is set-aside. It is directed that as and when any advertisement is issued by GMADA, the petitioner shall be

CWP No.6983 of 2015

[2]

eligible to apply in response thereto and his claim shall be considered on merits.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

November 20, 2015
mohinder

[HARI PAL VERMA]
JUDGE