

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 8181 of 2014

DATE OF DECISION: 10.03.2015

Subash Chand

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present:- Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, D. A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant concession of relaxation of five years in upper age limit to the petitioner being member of Economically Backward Class and to set aside order dated 17.4.2014 (Annexure P-6).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was entitled for relaxation in age but still his claim has been rejected and he has not been granted the benefit of relaxation in age.

In pursuance to advertisement dated 8.7.2013, the selection has already taken place and all selected candidates have also joined their

duties. The selected/appointed candidates are not party in the present case and a limited prayer has been made for grant of relaxation in age to the petitioner being member of Economically Backward Class. Since the posts have already been filled up, selection cannot be set aside only on the ground that relaxation in age was not given to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

March 10, 2015
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE