

218 CWP-9103-2013

LALIT KUMAR VS STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

Present : None for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Goyal, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. R.A. Yadav, Advocate
for respondents No.3 and 4.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

By this petition the petitioner has claimed higher salary. In the written statement, it has been mentioned that actually his services were terminated by order dated 18.09.2013 i.e. after the filing of the present petition. Therefore, it is prayed that the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be dismissed as such. No has entered appearance on behalf of the petitioner.

In the circumstances, the petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the same in case this fact is not correct.

May 12, 2015
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE