

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 6899 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.04.2015

Tarsem Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. B.S. Bhinder, Advocate for the petitioner.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the instant writ petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner seeks directions to the respondents to release the retiral benefits as pension, pension commutation, gratuity, leave encashment.

The learned counsel contends that for the redressal of his grievance, the petitioner had moved representation (Annexure P-1), however, the same has not been decided so far.

Keeping in view the prayer made in the petition, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority, which is stated to be respondent No.2, to decide the representation Annexure P-1, by passing a speaking order, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

10.04.2015

sumit.k

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**