

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

DATE OF DECISION: APRIL 09, 2015

Narinder Singh Dhansiwal

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Kartik Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of counsel for the petitioner that issue, which was raised in the present writ petition, qua the same a representation, dated 30.09.2014 (Annexure P-1) has been submitted by the petitioner and thereafter another representation dated 01.10.2014 (Annexure P-2) has also been sent to the Deputy Commissioner, Hoshiarpur-respondent No.2 but till date no response thereto has been received nor has any action taken thereon.

Counsel contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the the Deputy Commissioner, Hoshiarpur-respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 01.10.2014 (Annexure P-2) as moved by the petitioner and if required, may take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merit of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with directions to the Deputy Commissioner, Hoshiarpur-respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 01.10.2014 (Annexure P-2) within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**April 09, 2015
khurmi**

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**