

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 8886 of 2013
Date of Decision: 23.02.2015**

Tarsem Lal Gupta

... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Civil Supply Corporation

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. SS Salar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. AK Sharma, Advocate,
for the respondent.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Having regard to the averments made in para Nos.7 to 9 of the written statement filed by learned counsel for the respondent, the petition has been rendered infructuous as the amount claimed has been paid and the debt discharged. However, learned counsel for the petitioner pleads no instructions.

The petitioner shall have the liberty to revive this petition, in case, any grievance subsists with respect to the prayers made in this petition.

Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

23.02.2015
monika

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE