

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 662 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.1.2015

Rajesh Kumar

.. Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma (Bhana), Advocate for the petitioner.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

The grievance of the petitioner is that though he was senior to Om Parkash as Clerk, but still while considering the cases for promotion from Clerk to Assistant, the petitioner was ignored, whereas his junior-Om Parkash was promoted. In response to the application filed under the Right to Information Act, the department conceded that there was error in not promoting the petitioner, while his junior was promoted, as his name was not mentioned in the seniority list. It was further mentioned therein that the case of the petitioner will be considered. Learned counsel further submitted that thereafter the petitioner got a legal notice dated 20.11.2014 (Annexure P-4) issued to the respondents, however, neither the petitioner has been promoted nor the notice has been responded to.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, however, without opining on the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to take a final

decision on the legal notice dated 20.11.2014 got served by the petitioner.
The needful shall be done within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

15.1.2015
mk