

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.6579 of 2015
Date of decision: 08.04.2015

Sarbarinder Deep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Jasdeep Singh Gill, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, to direct respondent No.2, to consider the claim of the petitioner for appointment as Inspector in the Excise and Taxation Department on compassionate grounds. Father of the petitioner, who was employed as Excise and Taxation Officer (E.T.O.), died on 10.10.2014. Petitioner purports to have submitted a representation in this regard to respondent No.2 in October, 2014, itself but to no avail. Further, petitioner fulfills all the requisite conditions to be appointed as Inspector in the department. It is maintained that prior to the institution of this petition, respondent No.2 was even served upon a legal notice dated 06.01.2015 (Annexure P4). But the same too has failed to evoke any response.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, at this stage, let this petition be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, to consider and decide his notice within a specified time. And by passing a speaking order.

That being so, and without expressing any opinion on merits, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2, to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as set out in the notice 06.01.2015 (Annexure P4), strictly in accordance with law, within a period of 3 months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed, assigning reasons in support of the decision arrived at.

April 8, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge