

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 8649 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: January 9, 2015

Mayfield Garden Resident Welfare Society

...Petitioner

Versus

The State Registrar of Societies, Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. RK Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Keshav Gupta, AAG, Haryana,
for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

The only point that arises for consideration is whether the decision could have been taken on the issue of validity of election without affording an opportunity to the petitioner. It bears out admittedly that such notice had not been given. On this short ground, the impugned order Annexure P/17 requires to be set aside and accordingly set aside. The matter is remanded to the 1st respondent who will afford an opportunity to the petitioner inviting it to file its objections, which shall be made within four weeks from today. On such a response, the 1st respondent will take a decision in accordance with law expeditiously.

January 9, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

