

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.858 of 2013

Date of decision: 10.07.2015.

Bhavna and others

..Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment? Yes/No

2. To be referred to reporters or not? Yes/No

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. D.A.G., Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3 – State.

None for respondent No.4.

Daya Chaudhary, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the controversy in the present case is squarely covered by **CWP No.23099 of 2012** titled as **Manju Yadav vs. State of Haryana and others**, which has been dismissed on 04.12.2012.

Dismissed in terms of the decision passed in **CWP No.23099 of 2012.**

10.07.2015
neetu

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**