

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(222)

CWP-7543-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.08.2015

Gian Chand

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Ms. Jatinder Jit Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

P.B.Bajanthri, J (Oral)

The petitioner was appointed as Class IV employee on 26.02.1974. He has earned promotion to the cadre of Clerk and Accountant on 10.05.1977 and 25.02.1999 respectively. It is stated that he got paralytic attack on 16.03.2012 while he was in service and he has attained age of superannuation and retired from service on 30.06.2012.

The claim of the petitioner is relating to disbursement of pensionary benefits as well as medical reimbursement. The same was not settled therefore he is before this Court.

During pendency of the petition, it was noticed from the written statement that all the benefits which were due to the petitioner were settled from time to time i.e. in the year 2013, 2014 and 2015. Therefore, the grievance of the petitioner is settled by the respondents which is not disputed by either of the parties. For the belated settlement of pensionary

benefits, petitioner submits that he is entitled for interest. The petitioner is entitled for the interest on the belated settlement of pensionary benefits @ 9% per annum. The petitioner retired on 30.06.2012 and without any reason or rhyme his pensionary benefits have not been settled timely, therefore, he is entitled for cost of Rs.5000/- also. The interest and cost shall be paid to the petitioner within two months from today.

(P.B.Bajanthri)
Judge

18.08.2015
pooja saini