

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Civil Writ Petition No. 6306 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION : April 06, 2015

Jagmail Singh

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

State of Punjab & ors.

.... RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Binderjit Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

A writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, directing respondents No.1 to 5 to consider and promote the petitioner as Lecturer in Political Science with effect from 30.05.2008, when Veena Rani (respondent No.6), junior to the petitioner, was promoted. And all consequential benefits, be afforded.

It is maintained that as per the seniority list of SST Masters (Annexure P-13), which is in operation, name of the petitioner figures at Serial No.1954, whereas Veena Rani (respondent No.6) is placed at Serial No.3858. Despite that being

so, vide order dated 30.05.2008 (Annexure P-15), respondents promoted Veena Rani to the post of Lecturer in Political Science in Government Senior Secondary School, Lehra Mohabat, District Bathinda. Whereas, claim of the petitioner, despite being eligible, was not considered. Now, respondent No.5 had forwarded the claim of the petitioner for promotion as Lecturer in Political Science on 12.02.2009 and, thereafter, on 29.08.2011, but with no tangible result. Not just that, petitioner even represented to respondent No.2 i.e. Director, Public Instructions (Secondary Education), Punjab, vide a detailed representation dated 13.12.2014 (Annexure P-19), but that too has gone unheeded.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition, at this stage, be disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner within a specified time. Further, he shall also submit a comprehensive representation, within a week from today to supplement his claim, therefore, respondent No.2 be directed to consider the same as well, while deciding the claim of the petitioner.

That being so, and without expressing any opinion on merits, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as set out in his representation dated 13.12.2014 (Annexure P-19), by taking into account supplement material, if indeed any is placed for consideration, strictly in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this

order.

Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed, assigning reasons in support of the decision arrived at.

APRIL 06, 2015
Kang

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE