

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 6302 of 2015**

**DATE OF DECISION : April 06, 2015**

**Surjit Singh**

**..... PETITIONER(S)**

**VERSUS**

**The State of Punjab & ors.**

**.... RESPONDENT(S)**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

**Present: Mr. Vishavjeet Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.**

**...**

**ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)**

A writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, to direct respondents No.1 to 3 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner for regularisation of his services and decide the representations made in this regard.

It is averred that the petitioner was recruited as Special Police Officer (S.P.O.) by Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur (Punjab) on 01.01.1992 and he continued as such till 10.04.1996, when his services were discharged. However, he was again recruited in the 5th Indian Reserve Battalion of Punjab Police and is serving as Water Carrier. Various representations

are purported to have been made by the petitioner to respondent No.2 for his absorption against a regular post of Constable in the Punjab Police. So much so, Deputy Inspector General of Police, Border Range, Amritsar, recommended the claim of the petitioner to Director General of Police, Punjab vide letter dated 02.09.2002 (Annexure P-2). And, vide endorsement upon the office letter dated 02.09.2002 (Annexure P-2), the requisite relaxation was granted by the Director General of Police and the petitioner was even approved for enlistment as Constable. Since 2002, the petitioner has repeatedly represented to respondent No.2. It is maintained that prior to the institution of this petition, petitioner had again represented to respondent No.2 vide detailed representations dated 08.04.2013 (Annexure P-3) and 09.12.2014 (Annexure P-4), but that too have failed to evoke any response.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition, at this stage, be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representations (Annexures P-3 and P-4), made by the petitioner within a specified time. And by passing a speaking order.

That being so, and without expressing any opinion on merits, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner, as set out in his representations dated 08.04.2013 (Annexure P-3) and 09.12.2014 (Annexure P-4), strictly in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed, assigning reasons in support of the decision arrived at.

APRIL 06, 2015  
Kang

( ARUN PALLI )  
JUDGE