

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

125

**Civil Writ Petition No.6203 of 2015
Date of Decision:06.04.2015**

Gurpreet Kaurpetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersrespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Ms.Monika Jalota, Advocate
for the petitioner

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

A writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for appointment as Science Mistress on compassionate grounds. It is averred that father of the petitioner, who was employed as Head Teacher, died on 25.04.2002, while being in service. As the petitioner, who happened to be the only child of the deceased was barely 14 years of age her application dated 19.06.2002, for appointment, was not considered owing to the instructions dated 24.04.1986. And she was asked to re-submit her claim on fulfilling the requisite conditions. Subsequently, petitioner re-submitted her claim followed by repeated reminders, but to no avail. So much so, having qualified B.Sc, B.Ed., the qualification that is required for appointment to the post of Science Mistress, petitioner again applied in December 2009 and her case was forwarded by respondent No.4 to respondent No.3 on 30.06.2010, for consideration and necessary action. Subsequently, respondent No.4 having removed certain

objections, re-sent her case to respondent No.3, vide letter dated 30.03.2011 (Annexure P7). Eventually, petitioner was called at SAS Nagar, Mohali, where respondents had organised a camp to consider similar claims. And on verification of the original documents submitted by the petitioner and retained, she was assured that the letter of appointment would follow. But with no tangible result, thereafter.

It is maintained that prior to the institution of this petition, respondents were served upon a legal notice dated 20.11.2013 (Annexure P8), but the same too has gone unheeded.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, at this stage, let this petition be disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 to 4 to consider and decide her legal notice, within a specified time, since a considerable time has already elapsed.

That being so, and, without expressing any opinion on merits, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 to 4, to consider and decide the claim of the petitioner as set out in her legal notice dated 20.11.2013 (Annexure P8), strictly, in accordance with law, within a period of one months, from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, a comprehensive order shall be passed assigning reasons, in support of the decision arrived at.

06.04.2015

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE