

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.6180 of 2015
Date of decision : 19.05.2015

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after passing of the order dated 31.03.2015, the order of the transfer has been implemented and the petitioner has joined the place of posting vis-a-vis the said transfer, however, petitioner is stated to have submitted representation dated 16.03.2015 (Annexure P-9) giving the detailed reasons for not transferring him.

The respondent is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law.

It is expected that authorities shall decide the matter after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

Let this exercise be done within a period of one week from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

Writ petition is disposed of.

19.05.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**