

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

119

CWP No. 6177 of 2015

DATE OF DECISION: May 07, 2015

M/s Sharma Construction Co.

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Aman Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of the counsel for the petitioner that although the bills, on completion of the work on 27.02.2011, were submitted, which were duly forwarded by the Sub-Divisional Officer, Punjab Mandi Board, Dhuri, District Sangrur, Punjab (Annexure P-2 Colly.) but the dues have not been released to the petitioner till date.

Petitioner has been approaching the respondents, time and again, for release of the dues and in this regard, the counsel has referred to the representations attached with the writ petition dated 21.08.2012 and 18.03.2013 (Annexure P-3 and P-4 respectively) When no response was received, the petitioner has served a legal notice dated 15.03.2015

(Annexure P-5) upon The Executive Engineer, Punjab Mandi Board, Sangrur-respondent No. 2 but that also, till date, has not been responded to nor any benefit granted.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Sub-Divisional Officer, Punjab Mandi Board, Dhuri, District Sangrur, Punjab-respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 15.03.2015 (Annexure P-5) within some specified time.

In the light of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner and without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with directions to the Sub-Divisional Officer, Punjab Mandi Board, Dhuri, District Sangrur, Punjab-respondent No. 2 to consider and decide the legal notice of the petitioner dated 15.03.2015 (Annexure P-5) within a period of eight weeks from today.

In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted, the consequential benefits be released to it, in accordance with law, within a further period of six weeks. In case the claim of the petitioner is not to be accepted, a well-reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to it forthwith.

May 07, 2015
pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE