

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.W.P. No.6077 of 2015
Date of Decision : 01.04.2015**

EASI Jaipal

..... Petitioner

versus

The State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. S.N. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

The prayer made in the present petition is for quashing the departmental inquiry as well as punishment orders.

It is stated that against the punishment orders the petitioner has also filed a statutory revision before the respondent No.2 but despite the lapse of almost 2½ years the same has not been decided. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that he would not press for issuance of notice to the respondents but would be satisfied at this stage if a direction is given to the respondent No.2 to decide the said revision within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. Resultantly, the respondent No.2 is directed to decide the said statutory revision by passing a speaking order thereon within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified

copy of this order in case the aforementioned facts are correct.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

April 01, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**