

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No.5945 of 2015

Date of Decision : 31.03.2015

Dr. Navdeep Kumari and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Jagtar Kureel, Advocate
for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioners are praying that they be transferred at the same station.

Learned counsel has argued that in this connection the petitioners have also moved representations (Annexures P-3 to P-5) to the respondent No.2 and at this stage they would be satisfied if a direction is given to respondent No.2 to look into the matter and decide their representations within any reasonable time.

I find this to be a fair request. The respondent No.2 is directed to look into the matter/representation (Annexure P-3) and take whatever

action he deems necessary as per law.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

March 31, 2015

ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**