

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5944 2015

Date of decision: 31.03.2015

Ranjit Singh and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioners prays that he be permitted to withdraw the instant petition so as to enable the petitioners to represent the respondents in the first instance. He submits that the petitioners shall make a comprehensive representation to respondent No.1 within two weeks from today and, therefore, he be directed to consider and decide the same within a specified time by passing a speaking order.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

However, if any such representation is indeed made, respondent No.1 shall consider and decide the same, strictly in accordance with law, within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of said representation. Needless to assert, a

comprehensive order shall be passed, assigning reasons in support of the decision arrived at.

March 31, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge