

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

130

Civil Writ Petition No.5892 of 2015

Date of Decision: March 30, 2015

Popular Pipes

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Anoop Singla, Advocate
for Mr. Rajesh Kumar Girdhar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of the counsel for the petitioner that on 16.08.2013, work was assigned to the petitioner for drilling and development of three tubewells. The petitioner commenced the work on 27.08.2013. During the work was being carried out, the payment of enhanced work was also said to be payable to the petitioner and accordingly on completion of the work, the petitioner submitted representation to respondent No.5-The Executive Engineer, Punjab Water Supply and Sewerage Board, Hoshiarpur, on 16.12.2014 (Annexure P-2) for making payment of the enhanced work and release of security amount. Petitioner had been visiting the office of the respondent for release of these dues, but till date, nothing has been paid to the petitioner with regard to the enhance work assigned to him.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.3-The

Chief Engineer (West), Punjab Water Supply and Sewerage Board, Amritsar, who has already been submitted and forwarded the requisite claim of the petitioner by respondent No.5, within some specified time. The representation is dated 16.12.2014 (Annexure P-2). Counsel contends that the petitioner, at this stage, would be satisfied if a time bound direction is issued to the said respondent.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner, without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the the Chief Engineer (West), Punjab Water Supply and Sewerage Board, Amritsar-respondent No.3, to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner dated 16.12.2014 (Annexure P-2), within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted, the consequential benefits, if any, be released to him, in accordance with law, within a further period of six weeks. In case the claim of the petitioner is not to be accepted, a well reasoned and speaking order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner forthwith.

March 30, 2015
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE