

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 8003 of 2013

DATE OF DECISION: 07.07.2015

Sita Ram

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present:- Mr. Tribhuvan Dahiya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that during pendency of this writ petition, some of the benefits claimed by the petitioner, have been released to the petitioner and some have been declined.

Learned counsel further prays that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file a fresh one in order to challenge the order declining rest of the benefits.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the said liberty.

July 07, 2015
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE