

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7040 of 2014
Date of Decision: 10.08.2015

Shakuntala

. . . .Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.R.K. Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajesh Sheoran, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Mr.J.S. Saini, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondents have submitted that this petition has become infructuous because the petitioner has challenged the order of reinstatement of respondent No.2, whose term has already been over. Even otherwise learned counsel for respondent No.4 has submitted that respondent No.4 has been terminated from the office of Sarpanch and the said order has been challenged by him by way of separate writ petition.

In any case, the cause of action, on the basis of which the present petition has been filed, is over.

Dismissed as infructuous.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

10.08.2015

Vivek

VIVEK PAHWA
2015.08.11 15:02
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document