

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.5779 of 2015

Date of decision: 28.11.2015

Hans Raj and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.Abhinav Sood, Advocate, for
Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Inqulab Nagpal, AAG, Punjab.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Mr.Nagpal has filed a reply by way of short affidavit of Deputy Director [PAS] office of Director Public Instructions [SE], Punjab on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 in Court today. The same is taken on record.

A perusal of the written statement shows that the matter has been rendered infructuous.

The prayer of the petitioner for grant of benefit of pay revision with effect from 1.11.1971 instead of 16.7.1975 has been considered and decided in his favour in terms of the judgment delivered by this Court in CWP No.2208 of 1989 decided on 24th March, 2009; Lekh Raj Khera and

others v. State of Punjab and others.

In terms of the short affidavit, this petition has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

November 28, 2015
Paritosh Kumar