

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.5688 of 2015

Date of Decision: 03.08.2015

Pardeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajiv Joshi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjiv Soni, Advocate for respondent No.2.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

One of the elected Councilors of the Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar is seeking a direction for initiation of action against respondent No.6/Company and its agent/respondent No.7, who have damaged the corner portions of the street while laying down the underground wires without seeking permission in terms of Section 251 read with Section 388 of the Punjab Municipal Corporation Act, 1976.

Upon notice to the Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar (respondent No.2) only, its reply has been filed.

In the reply, it has been stated that the said respondent Nos.6 and 7 were requested to deposit the assessed loss caused due to the damage to the road while laying down the wires by them. However, the said respondents have sent a letter that they would repair the said damaged portion with good quality material to the satisfaction of the Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar, failing which, they would be liable for punitive action.

Complaint to the police authorities has also been made by the official respondents against the said private respondents.

At the time of hearing, however, learned Counsel for the Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar states that since then the Company/respondent No.6 has carried out the necessary repairs to the satisfaction of the answering respondent.

After hearing learned Counsel for the parties, it is evident that the needful repairs have been completed and nothing more requires to be adjudicated in the present writ petition.

Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed of as infructuous.

August 03, 2015

Gagan

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE