

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

222

Civil Writ Petition No.6891 of 2014

Date of Decision: 17th March, 2015

Gurdial Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others

...Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Daya Chaudhary

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. K.L. Dhingra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Poonia, Advocate
for the respondents.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the retiral benefits of the petitioner who has retired on 30.11.2012 along with interest @ 12% p.a. in view of judgements passed by this Court which are annexed as Annexures P-4, P-5 and P-7 as well as judgement dated 31.01.2006 passed by Hon'ble the Supreme Court which is annexed as Annexure P-11 with the petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the decision dated 25.09.2013 of this Court passed

in **CWP No.861 of 2012 titled as 'S.N. Aggarwal vs. Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited and others'**(Annexure P-4) and order passed in **CWP No.4631 of 2008 titled as Ratti Ram vs. UHBVN and others** (Annexure P-5). Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner has made representations dated 08.10.2013 and 04.02.2014 which are annexed as Annexures P-2 and P-3 respectively with the petition but no action has been taken there upon.

Learned counsel for the respondents has not disputed the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of submission made by learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider his representations (Annexures P-2 and P-3) in view of judgements passed by this Court which are annexed as Annexures P-4 and P-5. In case, the petitioner is found entitled for the same relief as granted in the aforesaid judgements, the necessary payment be made to the petitioner within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

17.03.2015

sonia g.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE