

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-5658-2015

Date of decision : 26.03.2015

MOHINDER SINGH

..... Petitioner

versus

**UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN
NIGAM LTD AND ORS**

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Malkiat Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

For the grievance raised in the present petition the petitioner is stated to have got served a legal notice Annexure P-1 dated 05.01.2015 upon the respondents. Learned counsel states that at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice within any reasonable time by passing a speaking order thereon.

I find this to be a fair request.

In the circumstances this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice Annexure P-1 within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order thereon.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

**March 26, 2015
sunita**