

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.565 of 2015
Date of Decision : 12.2.2015**

Satpal SinghPetitioner

Versus

Union of India and othersRespondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.KANNAN

Present: Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

K.Kannan, J (Oral)

A proprietor of a concern could have no legal right of action against another proprietary concern having a similar name. Neither the provisions of Company Act (Ss 20-22) nor the provisions of Trade Mark Act are at play. If the petitioner contests for any common law remedy, he may resort to suitable action in a Civil Court.

The writ petition is dismissed but with above liberty.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

**February 12, 2015
*ps-l***