

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

250 (1)

Civil Writ Petition No.5576 of 2015

Krishan Kumar and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

&

(2)

Civil Writ Petition No.5540 of 2015

Shiv Kumar Pandey @ Shiv Pandey and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

&

(3)

Civil Writ Petition No.5947 of 2015

Sheel Ratan and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

&

(4)

Civil Writ Petition No.6014 of 2015

Manpreet Kaur and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

&

(5) Civil Writ Petition No.6015 of 2015

Dinesh Kumar and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

&

(6) Civil Writ Petition No.6016 of 2015

Jagmahender and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

&

(7) Civil Writ Petition No.6036 of 2015

Mamta Rani and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

&

(8) Civil Writ Petition No.6050 of 2015

Sandeep Kaur

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

&

(9) Civil Writ Petition No.6146 of 2015

Raj Kumar and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

&

(10) Civil Writ Petition No.6173 of 2015

Preeti Mahiwal

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

&

(11) Civil Writ Petition No.6184 of 2015

Pooja Rani and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

&

(12) Civil Writ Petition No.6212 of 2015

Reenu and another

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

&

(13) Civil Writ Petition No.6056 of 2015

Manjeet Saini

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

&

(14) Civil Writ Petition No.6069 of 2015

Pinki Rani and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

&

(15) Civil Writ Petition No.8327 of 2015

Jaspal Kaur

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Date of decision: 25.05.2015

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

**Present: Mr. N.C. Kinra, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP Nos.5576, 5540, 6014, 6015, 6016, 6173 of 2015.**

**Mr. Raje Ram Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP Nos.6056 & 6069 of 2015.**

Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP Nos.6036, 6050, 6184, 6212 and 8327 of 2015.

Mr. Pulkit Dagar, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP No.5947 of 2015.

None for the petitioners in CWP No.6146 of 2015.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Aseem Aggarwal, Advocate
for the respondent-Union of India
in CWP No. 6015 of 2015.

Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Advocate
for the respondent-Union of India
in CWP Nos.6014, 6036 and 6050 of 2015.

Mr. Vinod Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.5-Union of India
in CWP Nos.6056 and 6069 of 2015.

Mr. Sanjay Vashisth, Sr. Penal Counsel
for respondent No.6 in CWP Nos.5540 and 5576 of 2015.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

This order shall dispose of CWP Nos.5576, 5540, 5947, 6014, 6015, 6016, 6036, 6050, 6146, 6173, 6184, 6212, 6056, 6069 and 8327 of 2015 as the facts and the law point involved in all the cases are identical. However, the facts are being extracted from CWP No. 5576 of 2015 for convenience of the Court.

The prayer in the present petitions is for quashing of letter dated 23.02.2015 (Annexure P-7) vide which the respondents have decided not to renew the contract agreement of the petitioners after 31.03.2015 and to dispense with the services of the petitioners w.e.f. 01.04.2015 by replacing them with new recruits or by outsourcing the same. A prayer has also been made for issuance of direction to the respondents not to dispense with the services of the petitioners.

Notice of motion was issued on 25.03.2015.

Learned State counsel has brought to the notice of this Court that the extension has been granted to all the petitioners up to 30.06.2015 and as such all the petitions have become infructuous. Learned State counsel also submits that the intervening period has also been regularized.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as per letter issued by Mission Director, NHM, Haryana on 07.04.2015, an approval has been accorded to continue all the ongoing activities during the year 2015-2016 as the same has already been approved under NHM on the existing rates/terms and conditions of 2014-15.

In view of prayer made in the present petition and keeping in view the fact that the term of the petitioners has been extended upto 30.06.2015 and the intervening period has also been regularized. Nothing has been brought on record which would show that the petitioners are being replaced by another contractual employees. Nothing survives in the present petitions.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

However, in case, the petitioners are still aggrieved in any manner, they are at liberty to avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

May 25, 2015
sonia g.