

PANKAJ KUMAR
2015-01-15 17:01

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.555 of 2015
Date of Decision.14.01.2015**

Joginder Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

UHBVNL and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ? No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? No

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner does not press for adjudication of the writ petition on merits but says that he would be satisfied if his representation made to respondent No.4 is disposed of by him. The representation shall be disposed of and the decision taken to be communicated to the petitioner within a period of one week from the date of receipt of copy of this order along with representation made by the petitioner already. Till such a decision is taken and information is supplied to the petitioner, there shall be no disconnection.

2. The writ petition is disposed of with the above directions.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

January 14, 2015
Pankaj*