

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.552 of 2015
Date of Decision.14.01.2015

Balwinder Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. B.S. Sra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ? No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? No

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Valuable items seized from the house of petitioner in the course of alleged investigation are said to have been secreted by the police. A complaint given to the higher police authorities has not yielded any result. The petitioner seeks for registration of a complaint for offences under Section 409 read with 120B IPC against respondents No.5 to 9. The petitioner is at liberty to file a private complaint under Section 200 Cr.P.C before the jurisdictional Magistrate and seek for appropriate orders. A writ remedy is not appropriate remedy in a situation like this and the writ petition is disposed of with the above observations to resort to an alternative remedy in the manner provided under the Criminal Procedure Code.

2. Independent of the procedure that could be adopted in the manner indicated above, the respondent Nos.1 and 2 may also consider

the representation which the petitioner is said to have made under Annexure P-6 in the manner known to law.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

January 14, 2015
Pankaj*