

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 5447 of 2015
Date of decision : May 20, 2015

Amarjit Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Raj Kumar Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Kumar, DAG., Haryana.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned State counsel has handed over copy of the report conducted by the enquiry officer along with an affidavit of District Revenue Officer, Sirsa on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4. The same is taken on record.

As per the report, the concerned Kanungo had been held guilty for destruction of the record.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from Mr. Raj Singh, Clerk, dealing hand, submits that the representation dated 25.6.2012 (Annexure P-6) is still under consideration and the same shall be decided within a period of two months from today.

Since this Court vide order dated 12.2.2003 in CWP No. 3363 of 1984 had already directed the Chief Secretary, Haryana to get an enquiry conducted in the matter from an officer of the rank of a Financial Commissioner on the premise that the record pertaining to selection had disappeared and on repeated insistence the said record was not produced.

While disposing of the aforesaid writ petition, this Court had also granted liberty to the petitioners to make representation before the appropriate authority in accordance with law for claiming consequential relief. It is submitted that despite petitioners had already made a representation for claiming consequential relief but no action has been taken.

In view of the statement made by Mr. Ashok Kumar, DAG., Haryana the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 25.6.2012 (Annexure P-6) by passing a detailed and speaking order after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. In case the claim of the petitioner is accepted then consequential benefits, if any, be given to the petitioner within a further period of two months.

Accordingly the writ petition stands disposed of.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

May 20, 2015
archana