

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision:-14.12.2015

(1) CWP No. 664 of 2014

Suresh Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

(2) CWP No.17570 of 2014

Balwinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

(3) CWP No.13353 of 2015

Sudhir Singh and others

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

(4) CWP No.18627 of 2015

Raj Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

(5) CWP No.17039 of 2015

Surender and anotherPetitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others
.....Respondents

(6) CWP No.12715 of 2015

Anju Bala and othersPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others
.....Respondents

(7) CWP No.12724 of 2015

VandanaPetitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others
.....Respondents

(8) CWP No.12714 of 2015

Meg Nath and othersPetitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others
.....Respondents

**CORAM :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SATISH KUMAR MITTAL.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH.**

**Present:- Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate
for the petitioners.**

**Mr. Saurabh Sharma, Advocate
for petitioner (CWP No.17570 of 2014)**

**Mr.Sandeep Moudgil, Addl. AG Haryana
for respondents No. 1 and 2.**

Mr. Sunny Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.
(CWP No. 18627 of 2015)

Mr. Tribhuvan Dahiya, Advocate
for respondent No.3 (CWP No. 664 of 2014)

Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate
for respondent No. 5 (CWP No. 664 of 2014)

Mr. Mohinder Singh, Advocate
for respondent No. 7 (CWP No. 664 of 2014)

Mr. N.R. Dahia, Advocate
for UGC.

Mr. S.K.Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No. 10 (CWP No. 664 of 2014)

Mr. Ramesh Hooda, Advocate
for respondent No. 6 (CWP No. 664 of 2014)

Mr. D.K. Khanna, Advocate
for respondent No.3 (CWP No.12724 of 2015, and
CWP No. 17039 of 2015)

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate
for respondent No.4 in CWP No. 18627 of 2015.

Mr. B.L. Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.4
(CWP No. 17039 of 2015)

Mr. Harsh Goyal, Advocate
for Mr. Tribhawan Singla, Advocate
for respondent No. 4.

SATISH KUMAR MITTAL, J.(Oral)

This order shall dispose of CWP Nos.664, 17570 of
2014, 13353, 18627, 17039, 12715, 12724, 12714 of 2015.

In these petitions Rule 7 of Haryana State Education
School Cadre (Group-B) Service Rules, 2012 providing
qualifications to the post of PGT Computer Science has been
challenged.

A Coordinate Bench of this Court in Archana Kumari
Versus State of Haryana and another CWP No.18215 of 2015,
Decided on 20.10.2015 has upheld the said rule. It has not been
disputed that the SLP filed against the said order has also been
dismissed by the Hon'ble the Apex Court on 7.12.2015.

In view of the said fact, learned counsel for the
petitioners states that these petitions may also be dismissed in light of
the aforesaid decision.

Dismissed.

(SATISH KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

14.12.2015
reema

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE