

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No.5395 of 2015

Date of Decision: March 23, 2015

Harender Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Dr.Suresh Kumar Redhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, *inter-alia*, contends that though the petitioner was communicated the adverse remarks for the period 1.4.2002 to 31.3.2003, whereby it was recorded that his integrity was doubtful, but thereafter he was promoted to the post of Head Constable w.e.f. 2.7.2004 and then ASI w.e.f. 25.10.2013 vide Annexure P-17 dated 15.1.2015 and on the basis of the orders passed by the Inspector General of Police Annexure P-17, communicated to the Superintendent of Police, Rohtak, approval had been granted to withdraw the undue benefits. Accordingly, show cause notice dated 27.1.2015 (Annexure P-18) has been issued, which has been duly replied, vide Annexure P-20. The petitioner filed representation, but no decision has been taken thereon.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that

since the order of withdrawal of the promotion has not been communicated to the petitioner, he seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present writ petition and file a fresh one as and when any adverse order is communicated to the petitioner.

In view of the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file a afresh writ petition as and when any adverse order is communicated to him.

The writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

March 23, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE