

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 5301 of 2015(O&M)

Date of decision : 23.03.2015

Arun Garg

..... Petitioner

VS

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Ms.Divya Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Information sought by the petitioner by application dated 30.01.2013 was not provided to him in the time period prescribed for the same. He filed an appeal and during the pendency of the same, four months later by letter dated 6.6.2013 he was informed that the IPO appended along with his application did not contain the name of the beneficiary. Thus the information could not be supplied. The petitioner filed an appeal before the Information Commission who also found that the IPO annexed with the application did not contain the name of the beneficiary. In these circumstances the refusal to provide the information cannot be said to be unjustified. However, learned counsel

for the petitioner stated that the State Information Commission erred in not granting opportunity to the petitioner to submit a correct IPO for the information he was seeking.

I find merit in this argument.

Resultantly it is directed that in case the petitioner now submits the correct IPO, the information be supplied to him in terms of the Right to Information Act, 2005.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

March 23, 2015
sunita