

**123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-5293-2015(O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.03.2015**

SANT LAL BAJAJ AND OTHERSPETITIONERS

VS

**HARYANA VIDYUT PRASARAN NIGAM
AND OTHERSRESPONDENTS**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr.B.S.Mittal, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel has argued that the petitioners are claiming stepping up of the pay on the same level as their juniors and in this connection the petitioners had issued legal notice dated 09.10.2014(Annexure P-8) which has not been decided as yet. Learned counsel states that at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said legal notice in accordance with law.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice(Annexure P-8) of the petitioners within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of

this order in accordance with law by passing a speaking order thereon and in case the petitioners are found entitled to the relief claimed, grant them the same within another period of one month thereafter. Since the dispute in this case relates to monetary benefits, it is made clear that in case any due payment is not made within the aforesaid time, the petitioners would be entitled to claim the same with interest at the rate of 8% p.a. from the date/s the payment/s fell due.

Since the main case has been decided, the Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

March 23, 2015
sunita