

**125 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-5182-2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 20.03.2015**

CHINI MILLS MAJDOOR UNION

.... PETITIONER

VS

THE STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr.Namit Kumar, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J.(ORAL)

For the grievance raised in the present petition, the petitioner is stated to have made a representation (Annexure P-7). Learned counsel states that at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.4 to decide the said representation within any reasonable time by passing a speaking order thereon.

I find this to be a fair request.

Resultantly, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to decide the representation (Annexure P-7) of the petitioner within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order thereon.

March 20, 2015

Pooja Sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**