

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5177 of 2015
Date of decision: 20.03.2015

Sardara Singh Chahal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. VK Shukla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Writ in the nature of mandamus is prayed for, to direct the official respondents to remove the encroachment i.e. purported to have been caused by respondent No.7, shown in red colour in the site plan (Annexur P1). Further, to direct the respondents to restore the street to its original position.

Concededly, the grievance/complaint of the petitioner is pending consideration before the authorities i.e. respondents No.4 & 5, and is being duly looked into.

Letter dated 13.08.2014, written by Deputy Director, Rural Development and Panchayat Department, to District Development and Panchayat Officer, Moga (respondent no.4), letter dated 21.11.2014 (Annexure P5), written by respondent No.4 to Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Kot Ise Khan (respondent No.5), letter dated 02.12.2014

(Annexure P6), written by respondent No.5 to Sarpanch and Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Karyal, show that the authorities are indeed in seisin of the matter. Needless to assert a timely and an appropriate decision in accordance with law shall be taken, thus, petitioner is at liberty to pursue the matter in issue with the respondents.

That being so, no interference, particularly at this stage, in exercise of power under Article 226 of the Constitution, is warranted.

Dismissed.

March 20, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge