

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**FAO-M-185-2011 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.12.2015**

Trishla **.....Appellant**

Vs

Rajesh Kumar **.....Respondent**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA.
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL.**

Present: Mr. R.K. Chug, Advocate,
for the applicant-respondent.

None for the non-applicant/appellant.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJIVE BHALLA, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the respondent states that parties have resolved their dispute in terms of settlement/compromise dated 01.10.2015 and are now residing together as husband and wife.

A perusal of the settlement/agreement reveals that statement of counsel for the respondent is correct.

In view of the statement by counsel for the respondent, the appeal has been rendered infructuous and is disposed of accordingly.

**[RAJIVE BHALLA]
JUDGE**

**[REKHA MITTAL]
JUDGE**

December 14, 2015
Suresh Kumar